



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

JOHN R. NJORD, P.E.
Executive Director

CARLOS M. BRACERAS, P.E.
Deputy Director

11 September 2006

Mr. J. Cory Jensen
Architectural Historian/
National Register & Survey Coordinator
State Historic Preservation Office
300 Rio Grande
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1182

**RE: UDOT Project No. STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126 on the
North. PIN 4745**

Dear Mr. Jensen,

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) is preparing to undertake the subject federal-aid project. The UDOT has made an effort to consider the eligibility of historic properties that are contained within the Area of Potential Effect (APE) for this undertaking, and offers the Utah State Historic Preservation Office (USHPO) an opportunity to comment on the eligibility of said properties, as outlined in U.C.A. 9-8-404. In addition, as part of the project development process, the UDOT is complying with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, and its implementing regulation, 36 CFR Part 800. This compliance is being conducted by UDOT on behalf of the Federal Highway Administration, Utah Division (FHWA).

The UDOT, in coordination with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), is proposing to widen the existing SR-108 from Roy to Syracuse. Enclosed are printouts showing the historical buildings located along the SR- 10 8 project corridor and the preliminary ratings for each property under the rating system of the SHPO. On a few of the properties, the evaluation rating has either two entries (e.g., B/C) or an entry with a question mark. These are properties for which I will defer to your judgment. The purpose of these tables is to provide a medium for reviewing the evaluations with the SHPO in order to achieve consensus about the ratings prior to the submission of the technical report. Achieving this consensus early in this process will allow for the roadway designers to better develop designs that avoid or minimize impacts to eligible properties.

Please review the attached Determination of Eligibility (DOE) and, providing you agree with the finding contained therein, sign and date the signature line at the end of this letter. Thank you for your efforts on our behalf. If you have any questions, please contact me at 801-620-1687.

06-1634

Sincerely,


Christopher Lizotte
Environmental Manager
Region 1 UDOT

Cc: (w/o attachments)

Todd Emery, FHWA
Charles Mace, UDOT Project Manager

I concur with the finding, as required by Section 106 and U.C.A. 9-8-404, in the Determination of Eligibility for project **UDOT Project No. STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126 on the North**, submitted to the Utah State Historic Preservation Office, which states that the UDOT has determined that the following properties are eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:


Address	Approx. Date of Construction	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility
1133 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1930	A-rating/Eligible
145 S. 2000 W. West Point	1957	A-rating/Eligible
39 S. 2000 W. West Point	1956	A-rating/Eligible
?20 S. 2000 W. West Point	1929	A-rating/Eligible
310 N. 2000 W. West Point	1954	A-rating/Eligible
817 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1950	A-rating/Eligible
881 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1953	A-rating/Eligible
1318 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1928	A-rating/Eligible *Highly significant farmstead
2184 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1961	A-rating/Eligible
2212 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1961	A-rating/Eligible
2541 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1949	A-rating/Eligible
5867 S. 3500 W. Roy	1958	A-rating/Eligible
5844 S. 3500 W. Roy	1919	A-rating/Eligible
4148 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1928	A-rating/Eligible *Highly significant property because of Jackson-Perkins test roses
3982 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1961	A-rating/Eligible
3594 Midland Dr. West Haven	1952	A-rating/Eligible
3478 Midland Dr. West Haven	1956	A-rating/Eligible
1609 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1929	B-rating/Eligible
?1451 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1940	B-rating/Eligible
1419 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1945	B-rating/Eligible
1401 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1915	B-rating/Eligible

Address	Approx. Date of Construction	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility
1373 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1955	B-rating/Eligible
1317 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1923	B-rating/Eligible
1217 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1920	B-rating/Eligible
1189 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1958	B-rating/Eligible
1147 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1956	B-rating/Eligible
963 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1923	B-rating/Eligible
850 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1930	B-rating/Eligible
723 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1910	B-rating/Eligible
150 S. 2000 W. West Point	1954	B-rating/Eligible
58 S. 2000 W. West Point	1936	B-rating/Eligible
647 N. 2000 W. West Point	1952	B-rating/Eligible
667 N. 2000 W. West Point	1949	B-rating/Eligible
796 N. 2000 W. West Point	1945	B-rating/Eligible
868 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1955	B-rating/Eligible
1071 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1905	B-rating/Eligible
1141 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1958	B-rating/Eligible
1197 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1950	B-rating/Eligible
1253 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1958	B-rating/Eligible
1693 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1945	B-rating/Eligible
1969 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1960	B-rating/Eligible
1993 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1959	B-rating/Eligible
2133 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1921	B-rating/Eligible
2162 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1957	B-rating/Eligible
2282 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1937	B-rating/Eligible
1988 W. 2300 N. Clinton	1934	B-rating/Eligible
2342 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1945	B-rating/Eligible
2404 N. 2000 W.	1958	B-rating/Eligible


Address	Approx. Date of Construction	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility
Clinton 2422 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1961	B-rating/Eligible
5986 S. 3500 W. Roy	1912	B-rating/Eligible
5939 S. 3500 W. Roy	1959	B-rating/Eligible
5839 S. 3500 W. Roy	1916	B-rating/Eligible
5823 S. 3500 W. Roy	1956	B-rating/Eligible
5720 S. 3500 W. Roy	1955	B-rating/Eligible
4180 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1928	B-rating/Eligible
3964 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1959	B-rating/Eligible
3801 Midland Dr. West Haven	1952	B-rating/Eligible
3713/3747 Midland Dr. West Haven	1940	B-rating/Eligible
3575 Midland Dr. West Haven	1945	B-rating/Eligible (Outbuilding only)
2008 W. 3300 S. West Haven	1926	B-rating/Eligible
1663 S. 2000 W. (?1631 S.) Syracuse	1930	C-rating/Not Eligible
1449 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1920	C-rating/Not Eligible
1433 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1937	C-rating/Not Eligible
1021 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1953	C-rating/Not Eligible
478 S. 2000 W. West Point	1945	C-rating/Not Eligible
460 S. 2000 W. West Point	1961	C-rating/Not Eligible
446 S. 2000 W. West Point	1961	C-rating/Not Eligible
422 S. 2000 W. West Point	1961	C-rating/Not Eligible
193 S. 2000 W. West Point	1956	C-rating/Not Eligible
169 S. 2000 W. West Point	1956	C-rating/Not Eligible
25 S. 2000 W. West Point	1953	C-rating/Not Eligible
340 N. 2000 W. West Point	1901	C-rating/Not Eligible
535 N. 2000 W. West Point	1900	C-rating/Not Eligible
714 N. 2000 W. (a.k.a. 710 N.)	1920	C-rating/Not Eligible

Address	Approx. Date of Construction	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility
West Point		
755 N. 2000 W. West Point	1948	C-rating/Not Eligible
783 N. 2000 W. West Point	1900	C-rating/Not Eligible
914 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1958	C-rating/Not Eligible
1193 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1944	C-rating/Not Eligible
1221 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1952?	C-rating/Not Eligible
1277 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1960	C-rating/Not Eligible
1289 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1944	C-rating/Not Eligible
1607 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1927	C-rating/Not Eligible
2019 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1939	C-rating/Not Eligible
2047 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1948	C-rating/Not Eligible
2056 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1949	C-rating/Not Eligible
2084 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1954	C-rating/Not Eligible
2118 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1952	C-rating/Not Eligible
2273 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1910	C-rating/Not Eligible
1956 W. 2300 N. Clinton	1950	C-rating/Not Eligible
2466 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1914	C-rating/Not Eligible
2637 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1950	C-rating/Not Eligible
2647 N. 2000 W. Clinton	1924	C-rating/Not Eligible
3446 W. 6000 S. Roy	1954	C-rating/Not Eligible
5975 S. 3500 W. Roy	1952	C-rating/Not Eligible
5891 S. 3500 W. Roy	1942	C-rating/Not Eligible
5859 S. 3500 W. Roy	1957	C-rating/Not Eligible
5854 S. 3500 W. Roy	1920	C-rating/Not Eligible
5809 S. 3500 W. Roy	1957	C-rating/Not Eligible
5491 S. 3500 W. Roy	1924	C-rating/Not Eligible
5373 S. 3500 W. Roy	1924	C-rating/Not Eligible

Address	Approx. Date of Construction	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility
5307 S. 3500 W. Roy	1937	C-rating/Not Eligible
5190 S. 3500 W. Roy	1936	C-rating/Not Eligible
4935 S. 3500 W. Roy	1897	C-rating/Not Eligible
4905 S. 3500 W. Roy	1938	C-rating/Not Eligible
4596 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1920	C-rating/Not Eligible
3997 Midland Dr. West Haven	1938/1977	C-rating/Not Eligible
3966 Midland Dr. West Haven (Roy)	1957	C-rating/Not Eligible
3315 Midland Dr. West Haven	1945	C-rating/Not Eligible
1275 S. 2000 W. Syracuse	1930	C-rating/Not Eligible (but possible eligible outbuildings)



J. Cory Jensen, National Register & Survey Coordinator,
Utah State Historic Preservation Office



Date



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

JOHN R. NJORD, P.E.
Executive Director

CARLOS M. BRACERAS, P.E.
Deputy Director

April 12, 2007

Cory Jensen
Architectural Historian/National Register & Survey Coordinator
Division of State History
300 Rio Grande
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1182

RE: SHPO Case Number 06-1634
UDOT Project Number: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126; Davis and Weber Counties, Utah. **Finding of Adverse Effect.**

Dear Mr. Jensen,

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), in partnership with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), is preparing to undertake the subject federal-aid project. The project proposes to widen SR-108 from two lanes to four from SR-127 to SR-126, a length of approximately 9.5 miles (see attached map). The project is needed in order to reduce roadway congestion, eliminate roadway deficiencies, and allow for regional growth. Two alternatives are being considered for this project: Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative and West Alternative.

In accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §470 et seq., and Utah Code Annotated (U.C.A.) §9-8-404, the FHWA, in partnership with the UDOT, is taking into account the effects of this undertaking on historic properties, and will afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) and the Utah State Historic Preservation Office (USHPO) an opportunity to comment on the undertaking. Additionally, this submission is in compliance with Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966, 23 U.S.C. § 138 (as amended) and 49 U.S.C. § 303 (as amended).

In September 2006, the Determinations of Eligibility were sent to your office. USHPO concurrence with those determinations was given on September 12, 2006. This document

contains the Findings of Effect (for both Section 106 and Section 4(f)) for all historic properties eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) located within the area of potential effects (APE) for this project. This information is provided for both alternatives being considered.

The APE for this project has been surveyed in its entirety resulting in identification of 109 architectural properties and 4 archaeological sites. Of these, 61 architectural properties and 1 archaeological site are considered eligible to the NRHP. No known traditional cultural properties or paleontological resources are located in the APE.

The Findings of Effects on these eligible properties are enclosed with this letter (see Tables 1 and 2) and are summarized below. A finding of no adverse effect is based on minor impacts from roadway cut and fill which will not impact eligible buildings or contributing features to the property. A finding of no effect is based on avoidance of eligible historic properties.

Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative

This alternative would have an adverse effect on 14 architectural properties, no adverse effect on 39 architectural properties, and no effect on 8 architectural properties (Table 1). This alternative would have no effect on the eligible archaeological site (42Wb352). Additionally, in accordance with Section 4(f), this alternative will require Complete Uses of 14 properties and have *de minimis* impacts on 39 properties.

West Alternative

This alternative would have an adverse effect on 22 architectural properties, no adverse effect on 32 architectural properties, and no effect on 7 architectural properties (Table 2). This alternative would have no effect on the eligible archaeological site (42Wb352). Additionally, in accordance with Section 4(f), this alternative will require Complete Uses of 22 properties and have *de minimis* impacts on 32 properties.

Therefore, both the Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative and the West Alternative for the proposed UDOT Project Number: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126; Davis and Weber Counties, Utah, will have an **Adverse Effect**. The Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative will require Complete Uses of 14 properties and have *de minimis* impacts on 39 properties. The West Alternative will require Complete Uses of 22 properties and have *de minimis* impacts on 32 properties.

A preferred alternative has not been selected for this project. Mitigation of adverse effects and negotiation of a memorandum of agreement will be based on the selection of the preferred build alternative.

Also enclosed, please find the final copy of the cultural resources inventory report and associated materials—IMACS site forms, hard copies of the RLS printouts and digital contact sheets, and a CD with the updated electronic database for the documented architectural properties. The report was prepared by SWCA Environmental Consultants in November 2006 and is titled *Archaeological and Architectural Resource Surveys for the SR-108, SR-127 to SR-126 Project in Davis and Weber Counties, Utah*.

Please review this document and, providing you agree with the findings contained herein, sign and date the signature line at the end of this letter. Should you have any questions or need additional information, please feel free to contact me at (801) 620-1635 or jelsken@utah.gov.

Sincerely,




Jennifer Elskens
NEPA/NHPA Specialist
UDOT Region 1



Elizabeth Giraud
Architectural Historian
UDOT

Enclosures


Regarding UDOT Project Number: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126; Davis and Weber Counties, Utah, I concur with the finding of effect, submitted to the Utah State Historic Preservation Office in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA and U.C.A. 9-8-404, which states that the UDOT has determined that the Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative and the West Alternative for the proposed project will have an **Adverse Effect**.



Cory Jensen
Architectural Historian/National Register & Survey Coordinator
Division of State History

4/19/07

Date



SHPO Case Number 06-1634
 UDOT Project Number: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 from SR-127 to SR-126; Davis and Weber Counties, Utah.
Finding of Adverse Effect.

Tables 1 and 2 are supplementary to attached cover letter and identify the Findings of Effect (for both Section 106 and Section 4(f)) for all historic properties eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) located within the area of potential effects (APE) for this project. Information is provided for both alternatives being considered: Minimize 4(f) Impacts Alternative and West Alternative.

Table 1. Project Effects on Architectural Properties: Minimize 4(F) Impacts Alternative.

Address	Const. Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
1663 South 2000 West Syracuse	1926	1-part commercial block exhibiting early and late 20 th century style	Eligible (Criterion A)	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1609 South 2000 West Syracuse	1929	Bungalow style, Foursquare	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
71451 South 2000 West Syracuse	1903	1-part Block Vernacular service station	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1419 South 2000 West Syracuse	1940	Vernacular Minimal Traditional	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1401 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Undefined type and vernacular style, some Minimal Traditional elements	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1373 South 2000 West Syracuse	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1317 South 2000 West Syracuse	1923	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1217 South 2000 West Syracuse	1920	Foursquare, mixed Bungalow, and general Victorian style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1189 South 2000 West Syracuse	1958	General Ranch/Rambler and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1147 South 2000 West Syracuse	1959	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1133 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Period Cottage or Greek Revival and general Period Revival style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)

Address	Const. Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
963 South 2000 West Syracuse	1920	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
850 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Warehouse, early 20 th century style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
723 South 2000 West Syracuse	1910	Cross-wing (T-cottage), Victorian style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
150 South 2000 West West Point	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
145 South 2000 West West Point	1958	Ranch/Rambler, Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
58 South 2000 West West Point	1935	Period Cottage, general Period Revival style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
39 South 2000 West West Point	1955	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
220 South 2000 West West Point	1940	Agricultural outbuilding complex, block-and-wing Monitor style barn, two lean-to sheds	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
310 North 2000 West West Point	1955	Ranch/Rambler, Ranch/Rambler and Contemporary style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
647 North 2000 West West Point	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
667 North 2000 West West Point	1950	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
796 North 2000 West Clinton	1945	WWII-era Cottage of vernacular style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
817 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
868 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII and Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
881 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1071 North 2000 West Clinton	1905	Hall-Porlor or Single cell residence, early 20 th century style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1141 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1197 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	Duplex, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use

Address	Const. Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
1253 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1318 North 2000 West Clinton	1925	Period Cottage, English Cottage style	A-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1693 North 2000 West Clinton	1945	Early Ranch/Rambler, Early Ranch style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1969 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1993 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
2133 North 2000 West Clinton	1920	Bungalow, general Bungalow and Arts and Crafts style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
2162 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2184 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2212 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Ranch/ Rambler and Contemporary style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
2282 North 2000 West Clinton	1937	Undefined type, general Post-WWII/ Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1988 West 2300 North Clinton	1935	Period Cottage, Greek Revival style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2342 North 2000 West Clinton	1930	Modified (simplified) Cape Cod vernacular	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2404 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2422 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2541 North 2000 West Clinton	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5986 South 3500 West Roy	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Minimal Traditional style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5939 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5867 South 3500 West Roy	1960	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)

Address	Const. Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
5844 South 3500 West Roy	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Minimal Traditional and Period Revival style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5839 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Undefined type and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5823 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Ranch/Rambler, Ranch/ Rambler and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5720 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Contemporary type style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
4180 Midland Drive West Haven	1925	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
4148 Midland Drive West Haven	1925	Bungalow	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
3982 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler with attached garage	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
3964 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
3801 Midland Drive West Haven	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
3713 Midland Drive West Haven	1930	Agricultural outbuildings, shed or possible milking barn	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
3594 Midland Drive West Haven	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
3575 Midland Drive West Haven	1935	Outbuilding only	B-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
3478 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
2008 West 3300 South West Haven	1920	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No

Table 2. Project Effects on Architectural Properties: West Alternative.

Address	Const Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
1663 South 2000 West Syracuse	1926	1-part commercial block exhibiting early and late 20 th century style	Eligible (Criterion A)	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1609 South 2000 West Syracuse	1929	Bungalow style, Foursquare	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
?1451 South 2000 West Syracuse	1903	1-part Block Vernacular service station	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1419 South 2000 West Syracuse	1940	Vernacular Minimal Traditional	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1401 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Undefined type and vernacular style, some Minimal Traditional elements	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1373 South 2000 West Syracuse	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1317 South 2000 West Syracuse	1923	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1217 South 2000 West Syracuse	1920	Foursquare, mixed Bungalow, and general Victorian style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1189 South 2000 West Syracuse	1958	General Ranch/Rambler and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1147 South 2000 West Syracuse	1959	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1133 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Period Cottage or Greek Revival and general Period Revival style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
963 South 2000 West Syracuse	1920	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
850 South 2000 West Syracuse	1930	Warehouse, early 20 th century style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
723 South 2000 West Syracuse	1910	Cross-wing (T-cottage), Victorian style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
150 South 2000 West West Point	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1445 South 2000 West West Point	1958	Ranch/Rambler, Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
538 South 2000 West West Point	1935	Period Cottage, General Period Revival style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use

Address	Const Date	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
39 South 2000 West West Point	1955	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
720 South 2000 West West Point	1940	Agricultural outbuilding complex, block-and-wing Monitor style barn, two lean-to sheds	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
310 North 2000 West West Point	1955	Ranch/Rambler, Ranch/Rambler and Contemporary style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
647 North 2000 West West Point	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
667 North 2000 West West Point	1950	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
796 North 2000 West West Point	1945	WWII-era Cottage of vernacular style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
817 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
868 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII and Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
881 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1071 North 2000 West Clinton	1905	Hall-Porlor or Single cell residence, early 20 th century style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1141 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1197 North 2000 West Clinton	1950	Duplex, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1253 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1318 North 2000 West Clinton	1925	Period Cottage, English Cottage style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
1693 North 2000 West Clinton	1945	Early Ranch/Rambler, Early Ranch style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1969 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
1993 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
2133 North 2000 West Clinton	1920	Bungalow, general Bungalow and Arts and Crafts style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use

Address	Const	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
	Date				
2162 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2184 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2212 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Ranch/ Rambler and Contemporary style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
2282 North 2000 West Clinton	1937	Undefined type, general Post-WWII/ Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
1988 West 2300 North Clinton	1935	Period Cottage, Greek Revival style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2342 North 2000 West Clinton	1930	Modified (simplified), Cape Cod vernacular	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2404 North 2000 West Clinton	1955	Early Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2422 North 2000 West Clinton	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Post-WWII style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
2451 North 2000 West Clinton	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
5986 South 3500 West Roy	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Minimal Traditional style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5939 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5867 South 3500 West Roy	1960	Ranch/Rambler	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5844 South 3500 West Roy	1945	WWII-era Cottage, general Minimal Traditional and Period Revival style	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5839 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Undefined type and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
5823 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Ranch/Rambler, Ranch/ Rambler and Contemporary style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
5720 South 3500 West Roy	1955	Contemporary type style	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
4180 Midland Drive West Haven	1925	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
4148 Midland Drive West Haven	1925	Bungalow	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)

Address	Const	Description/Type	SHPO Rating/ NRHP Eligibility	NRHP Effect	Section 4(f) Use
	Date				
3982 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler with attached garage	A-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
3964 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	No Adverse Effect	Minor Use (<i>de minimis</i>)
3801 Midland Drive West Haven	1955	Ranch/Rambler	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
3713 Midland Drive West Haven	1930	Agricultural outbuildings, shed or possible milking barn	B-rating/Eligible	Adverse Effect	Complete Use
3594 Midland Drive West Haven	1950	WWII-era Cottage, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
3575 Midland Drive West Haven	1935	Outbuilding only	B-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
3478 Midland Drive West Haven	1960	Ranch/Rambler, general Post-WWII style	A-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No
2008 West 3300 South West Haven	1920	Bungalow	B-rating/Eligible	No Effect	No

**NATIVE AMERICAN CONSULTATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF
TRADITIONAL CULTURAL PROPERTIES FOR THE
SR-108, SR-127 TO SR-126, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

UDOT Project No. STP-0108(13)4E

**Prepared by
Elizabeth Perry, PhD.
SWCA Environmental Consultants
April 2007**

This document summarizes the results of consultation with federally-recognized Native American tribes and identification of traditional cultural places for the SR-108, SR-127 to SR-126, Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA) was hired by HDR, Inc., the prime EIS consultant, to assist the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in consulting with Native American tribes regarding the presence or absence of traditional cultural places within lands included in the project area. This report summarizes the results of that consultation as of April 4, 2007.

The objectives of the consultation were (1) to identify and record specific places of traditional importance, as well as traditional values, that may be present within the area of potential effects for the proposed project; (2) to determine possible impacts to any such places from implementation of the project; and (3) to recommend measures to lessen said impacts in accordance with various federal environmental laws. Applicable laws governing consultation include the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended; the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended; the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990, as amended; the American Indian Religious Freedom Act of 1978; and Executive Order 13007-Indian Sacred Sites. Three federally-recognized tribes were contacted for this project (Table 1).

Table 1. Tribes contacted for the SR-108 Project EIS

Uintah and Ouray Ute Indian Tribe	Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes	

Consultation took the form of a formal written request for consultation sent to the tribes by the FHWA on August 7, 2006, and follow up phone calls to the tribes over the several months following transmittal of those letters. A copy of one of the letters and a list of additional recipient tribes are provided in Appendix A.

Summary of Consultation

SWCA ethnographer Dr. Elizabeth Perry followed up with telephone calls to each of the three tribes. Of these three, two were reached for comment. Contact information for each tribe, dates of contact, and narrative descriptions of each conversation are provided in Table 2. None of the

tribes identified any known historic properties of traditional religious and/or cultural importance in the area of the proposed undertaking, and none reported any concerns about the project.

The nature of the communication between tribal representatives and the SWCA ethnographer (Elizabeth Perry) are summarized in the following paragraphs:

Uintah and Ouray Ute Indian Tribe

The Ute Tribe requested additional information, and excerpts from the cultural resources report were sent to Betsy Chapoose at the Cultural Rights and Protection Office. During subsequent follow-up calls, Ms. Chapoose requested that a copy of the project area map be sent to her via email. She reported that she could not recall the existence of any Traditional Cultural Properties in the vicinity of the project area, but asked to be notified if anything was found. Ms. Chapoose indicated that she would contact SWCA if she had any additional concerns. SWCA had not received any additional comment from Ms. Chapoose as of April 4, 2007.

Shoshone-Bannock Tribes

During follow-up calls to the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, JoEtta Buckhouse was reached at the Cultural Resources Office. She indicated that she would talk with Carolyn Smith, Cultural Resources Director, and respond by letter with any tribal concerns. Ms Buckhouse called back later to request that a copy of the consultation letter and a project area map be sent to her via email, and stated that the Tribes would send a letter response after these items were evaluated. Elizabeth Perry emailed this material to Ms. Buckhouse on March 20th. SWCA had not received any additional comment from the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes as of April 4, 2007.

Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation

The Northwestern Band of the Shoshone Nation could not be reached for comment.

Table 2. Summary of communications with tribal representatives for the SR-108 Project

Contact	Date	Summary
Betsy Chapoose Cultural Rights and Protection Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Committee P.O. Box 190 Fort Duchesne, UT 84026 435-722-4992 betsyc@utetribe.com	8/21/06	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message for Betsy Chapoose.
	11/6/06	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message for Betsy Chapoose.
	12/14/06	Elizabeth Perry called and spoke to Betsy Chapoose. She requested more information, and a copy of relevant excerpts from the cultural resources report was sent.
	2/28/07	Elizabeth Perry spoke to Betsy Chapoose. She indicated that she had not had a secretary for a while, and could not locate the copy of the report we had sent. I described the project area to her, and summarized the results of the cultural resources surveys. She asked if I could email her a copy of the project area map. She said that she could not recall any TCP's in the project area, but asked to be notified if anything was found. She will respond to my email if she has any concerns.
	2/28/07	Elizabeth Perry sent an email to Betsy Chapoose with the project area map.
Patti Madsen Cultural Resources Director Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation 707 N. Main Street Brigham City, UT 84302 435-734-2286 800-310-8241	8/21/06	Elizabeth Perry called and received no answer or voice mailbox.
	11/6/06	Elizabeth Perry called and received no answer or voice mailbox.
	12/14/06	Elizabeth Perry called and received a recorded message that the voice mailbox was full and not accepting messages.
	2/28/07	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message on a voice mail machine.
	3/8/07	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message on a voice mail machine.

Table 2. Summary of communications with tribal representatives for the SR-108 Project

Contact	Date	Summary
Nancy Murillo (Carolyn Smith)	8/21/06	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message.
Cultural Resources Director Shoshone-Bannock Tribes Fort Hall Business Council P.O. Box 306 Pima Drive Fort Hall, ID 83203 208-478-3706	11/6/06	Elizabeth Perry called and received no answer in the Cultural Resources office.
	12/14/06	Elizabeth Perry called and left a message.
	2/28/07	Elizabeth Perry called and received no answer.
	3/8/07	Elizabeth Perry called and spoke to JoEtta Buckhouse at the Cultural Resources office. She indicated that she would talk with Carolyn Smith and respond about the tribes concerns by letter within two weeks. She said she may call back during that time for further information and clarification.
	3/20/07	Elizabeth Perry received a call from JoEtta Buckhouse. Ms. Buckhouse could not locate the original copies of the consultation letter, and asked for additional copies. We arranged to have them emailed to her, and I provided verbal descriptions of the project area and the results of cultural resources surveys. She indicated that she would look for the information I had emailed to her, and the tribe would respond by letter soon.
	3/20/07	Elizabeth Perry emailed a copy of the consultation letter and project area map to Ms. Buckhouse.

APPENDIX A

INITIAL CONSULTATION LETTER SENT TO TRIBES CONTACTED FOR THE SR-108 PROJECT EIS



U.S. Department
Of Transportation
Federal Highway
Administration

Utah Division
2520 West 4700 South, Ste. 9A
Salt Lake City, UT 84118-1847

August 7, 2006

File: STP-0108(13)4E

Patti Timbimboo-Madsen, Cultural Resources Director
Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation
707 North Main St.
Brigham City, UT 84302

Subject: SR-108, SR-127 to SR-126 on the North Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
Request for Scoping Comments
Project No. STP-0108(13)4E

Dear Ms. Madsen:

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), in cooperation with the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT), is preparing an EIS on a proposal to address existing and projected transportation demands along SR-108 through portions of Weber and Davis Counties, Utah. The study area follows the existing SR-108 corridor from SR-127 (Antelope Drive) on the south to SR-126 on the north. This corridor passes through, from south to north, the communities of Syracuse, West Point, Clinton, Roy, and West Haven. Maps depicting the study corridor are provided with this letter.

The proposed corridor is approximately 10 miles long. Alternatives under consideration include (1) taking no-action (no-build); and (2) build alternatives. Transportation build alternatives to be studied include widening the existing roadway and other alternatives identified during the scoping process. The build alternatives will be designed over the next several months. As such, exact areas of ground disturbance to implement any build alternative that may be selected through preparation of the EIS are not currently known.

FHWA will be the lead agency for purposes of the Section 106 process for this project. In accordance with Section 106 regulations published by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (AChP), 36 CFR Part 800, FHWA and UDOT request that you review the information above and the enclosed maps to determine if there are any historic properties of traditional religious and/or cultural importance that may be affected by the proposed undertaking. If you feel that there are any historic properties that may be impacted, we request your notification as such and your participation as a consulting party during the development of the environmental document.

SWCA Environmental Consultants (SWCA) is under contract to UDOT to carry out a cultural and paleontological resources study of the SR-108 project area and to assist FHWA and UDOT




in consulting with Native American groups who may have concerns regarding the proposed project's potential impacts on important traditional and/or religious sites. As noted above, specific areas of ground disturbance have not yet been identified. For this reason, SWCA has been tasked with investigating the area within 200 feet either side of the existing roadway centerline for cultural resources. We would be happy to provide you with copies of the cultural resources report upon its completion or with a more concise management summary of the document's findings.

At your request, FHWA and UDOT staff will be available to meet with you to discuss any concerns you may have about the project. At the present time, Mr. Christopher Lizotte of the UDOT Region One office has been designated the lead agency representative for cultural resource issues. Please be assured that FHWA, UDOT, and SWCA representatives will maintain strict confidentiality about certain types of information regarding traditional religious and/or cultural historic properties that may be affected by this proposed undertaking. We would also appreciate any suggestions you may have about any other groups or individuals that we should contact regarding this project.

Should you have concerns about this project and/or wish to be a consulting party, feel free to contact me by telephone at (801) 963-0078, extension 235. Dr. Elizabeth Perry, SWCA Project Anthropologist, will be contacting you within the next two weeks to verify receipt of this information and to discuss the need for further consultation. In order to facilitate our consultation with you regarding this project, we would greatly appreciate a response to this letter within 30 days of receipt.

Thank you for your attention to this project notification and for any comments you may have.

Respectfully,



Edward Woolford
Environmental Specialist

Enclosures (1)

cc with enclosure:

Chris Lizotte, UDOT
David Kilmurray, HDR
Sheri Ellis, SWCA



Identical letters sent to the following:

Betsy Chapoose
Cultural Rights and Protection
Uintah & Ouray Tribal Business Committee
P.O. Box 190
Fort Duchesne, UT 84026

Blaine J. Edmo, Chairman
Shoshone-Bannock Tribes
Fort Hall Business Council
P.O. Box 306 Pima Drive
Fort Hall, ID 83203

Nancy Mutillo



**MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT
BETWEEN
THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION,
AND
THE UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
REGARDING
PROJECT #: STP-0108(13)4E;
SR-108 FROM SR-127 TO SR-126; DAVIS AND WEBER COUNTIES, UTAH.**

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that **PROJECT #: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 FROM SR-127 TO SR-126; DAVIS AND WEBER COUNTIES, UTAH**, will have an adverse effect on fourteen (14) historic properties eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The FHWA has consulted with the Utah State Historic Preservation Officer (USHPO) pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800, regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with 36 CFR 800.6(a)(1), the FHWA has notified the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) of its adverse effect determination with specified documentation and the Council has chosen not to participate in the consultation pursuant to 36 CFR 800.6(a)(1)(iii);

WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) has participated in the consultation, and has been invited to concur;

NOW, THEREFORE, the FHWA and the USHPO agree that the undertaking shall be implemented in accordance with the following stipulations in order to take into account the effect of the undertaking on historic properties.

STIPULATIONS

The FHWA shall ensure that the following measures are carried out:

- I. **DOCUMENTATION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES:** The UDOT shall record the following fourteen (14) properties listed in Table 1 to the Utah State Intensive Level Survey (ILS) Standards in advance of construction activity. Submittals to the USHPO will include ILS forms and photographs according to USHPO standards:

Table 1. Architectural Properties with Adverse Effects.

Address	Date	Description/Type	USHPO Rating
1663 South 2000 West Syracuse	1926	1-part commercial block exhibiting early/ late 20 th century style	Eligible: <i>Criterion A</i>
1609 South 2000 West Syracuse	1929	Bungalow style, Foursquare	B-rating
850 South 2000 West, Syracuse	1930	Warehouse, early 20 th century style	B-rating
723 South 2000 West, Syracuse	1910	Cross-wing (T-cottage), Victorian style	B-rating
150 South 2000 West, West Point	1955	WWII-era Cottage, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating
58 South 2000 West, West Point	1935	Period Cottage, general Period Revival style	B-rating
796 North 2000 West, West Point	1945	WWII-era Cottage of vernacular style	B-rating
1197 North 2000 West, Clinton	1950	Duplex, general Ranch/Rambler style	B-rating
1318 North 2000 West, Clinton	1925	Period Cottage, English Cottage style	A-rating
1693 North 2000 West, Clinton	1945	Early Ranch/Rambler, Early Ranch style	B-rating
1993 North 2000 West, Clinton	1955	WWII-era Cottage, Post-WWII style	B-rating
2133 North 2000 West, Clinton	1920	Bungalow, general Bungalow and Arts and Crafts style	B-rating
5720 South 3500 West, Roy	1955	Contemporary type style	B-rating
3713 Midland Drive, West Haven	1930	Agricultural outbuildings, shed or possible milking barn	B-rating

- II. **REPORTING:** The FHWA shall ensure that any/all reports on activities carried out pursuant to this agreement are provided to the USHPO, the Council, the signatories to this Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), and upon request, to any other interested parties.

- III. PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS: The FHWA shall ensure that all historic work carried out pursuant to this agreement is completed by or under the direct supervision of a person or persons meeting or exceeding the Secretary of the Interior's Historic Preservation Professional Qualification Standards for History (36 CFR 61 Appendix A).
- IV. DURATION: This agreement will be null and void if its terms are not carried out within five (5) years from the date of its execution. Prior to such time, the FHWA may consult with the other signatories to reconsider the terms of the agreement and amend it in accordance with Stipulation VII below.
- V. DISCOVERY: In accordance with 36 CFR 800.13(b), the UDOT and the FHWA are providing for the protection, evaluation, and treatment of any historic property discovered prior to or during construction. The UDOT Standard Specifications Section 01355, Part 1.13, Discovery of Historical, Archaeological, or Paleontological Objects, Features, Sites, Human Remains, or Migratory Avian Species will be enforced during this project. This specification stipulates procedures to be followed should any archaeological, historic, paleontological resources, and/or human remains be discovered during construction of the project. These procedures are as follows:
- A. Immediately suspend construction operations in the vicinity (minimum 100-ft buffer around the perimeter) of the discovery if a suspected historic, archaeological, or paleontological item, feature, or site is encountered, or if suspected human remains are encountered.
 - B. Verbally notify the Engineer of the nature and exact location of the findings.
 - C. The Engineer contacts the UDOT Region staff archaeologist, who will assess the nature of the discovery and determine the necessary course of action.
 - D. Notify the Engineer who in turn notifies the Region Environmental Manager and the UDOT Wildlife Biologist if bats or migratory birds are discovered on structures.
 1. Coordinate to determine the necessary course of action.
 - E. Protect the discovered objects or features and provide written confirmation of the discovery to the Engineer within two calendar days.
 - F. The Engineer keeps the Contractor informed concerning the status of the restriction.
 1. The time necessary for the Department to handle the discovered item, feature, or site is variable, dependent on the nature and condition of the discovered item.
 2. The Engineer will provide written confirmation when work may resume in the area.
- Should a discovery occur, the FHWA will consult with the USHPO and the Council in accordance with 36 CFR 800.13(b)(3) toward developing and implementing an appropriate treatment plan prior to resuming construction.
- VI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION: Should any party to this agreement object at any time to any actions proposed or the manner in which the terms of this MOA are implemented, the FHWA shall consult with the objecting parties to resolve the objection. If the FHWA determines, within 30 days, that the objection(s) cannot be resolved, the FHWA will:
- A. Forward all documentation relevant to the dispute to the Council in accordance with 36 CFR 800.2(b)(2). Upon receipt of adequate documentation, the Council shall review and advise the FHWA on the resolution of the objection within 30 days. Any comment provided by the Council, and all comments from the parties to the MOA, will be taken into account by the FHWA in reaching a final decision regarding the dispute.

- B. If the Council does not provide comments regarding the dispute within 30 days after receipt of adequate documentation, the FHWA may render a decision regarding the dispute. In reaching its decision, the FHWA will take into account all comments regarding the dispute from the parties to the MOA.
- C. The FHWA's responsibility to carry out all other actions subject to the terms of this MOA that are not the subject of the dispute remain unchanged. The FHWA will notify all parties of its decision in writing before implementing that portion of the undertaking subject to dispute under this stipulation. The FHWA's decision will be final.


Further, at any time during implementation of the measures stipulated in this agreement should an objection to any such measure be raised by a member of the public, the FHWA shall take the objections into account and consult as needed with the objecting party, the USHPO, or the Council to resolve the objection.

- VII. **AMENDMENTS AND NONCOMPLIANCE:** If any signatory to this MOA, including any invited signatory, determines that its terms will not or cannot be carried out or that an amendment to its terms must be made, that party shall immediately consult with the other parties to develop an amendment to this MOA pursuant to 36 CFR 800.6(c)(7) and 800.6(c)(8). The amendment will be effective on the date a copy signed by all of the original signatories is filed with the Council. If the signatories cannot agree to appropriate terms to amend the MOA, any signatory may terminate the agreement in accordance with Stipulation VIII, below.
- VIII. **TERMINATION:** If an MOA is not amended following the consultation set out in Stipulation VII, it may be terminated by any signatory or invited signatory. Within 30 days following termination, the FHWA shall notify the signatories if it will initiate consultation to execute an MOA with the signatories under 36 CFR 800.6(c)(1) or request the comments of the Council under 36 CFR 800.7(a) and proceed accordingly.


Execution of this MOA by the FHWA, the USHPO, and the UDOT, and the submission of documentation and filing of this MOA with the Council pursuant to 36 CFR 800.6(b)(1)(iv) prior to FHWA's approval of this undertaking, and implementation of its terms, serves as evidence that the FHWA has taken into account the effects of this undertaking on historic properties, and has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on **PROJECT #: STP-0108(13)4E; SR-108 FROM SR-127 TO SR-126; DAVIS AND WEBER COUNTIES, UTAH.**

SIGNATORIES:

THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION



FHWA Division Administrator




Date



UTAH STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE




Utah SHPO



Date

INVITED SIGNATORIES:

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



UDOT Region 1 Director



Date